UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,345 06/14/2007		Takashi Inubushi	09867/0204692-US0	5514	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 05/24/201 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 770	4-4:		JONES, MARCUS D		
Church Street S New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/24/2010	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/596,345		INUBUSHI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marcus D. Jones	3714		

	Marcus D. Jones	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			oadoo
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reject	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / monamone (i	. 02 02 1/1
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov</li> </ul>		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	. п. т.		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3714	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant asserts that "Hara does not disclose changing a content of attack control information when specific conditions corresponding to character identification information and attack content identification information are satisfied as recited in independent claim 1."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As discussed in the Final rejection and in the Applicant's remarks, Hara states "The calculation unit changes the game parameter data for each player in accordance with the condition amount included within the game parameter data of the condition card. (col 6, In 7-14)" The Examiner interprets this section as, each card has a specific attack condition which the calculation unit changes for a player when the card is used. Each player is unique and each attack is unique to the specific card. Both of these are satisfied and the calculation unit uses the condition amount information to calculate new parameter data.

The Applicant further asserts that "a specific disclosure in not required where one of ordinary skill in th art would readily appreciate the scope of the term "predetermined condition"

The Examiner again disagrees. While the Examiner does agree that one of ordinary skill in the art should be able to easily comprehend a predetermined condition, the passage lacks specific details pertaining to what this predetermined condition could be. For example, a predetermined condition could be scoring a certain number of points, level of game play, and/or a skill level. However, neither of these are positively claimed or described in the Specification.

The Applicant is invited to contact the Examiner for an interview if the Applicant feels that by doing so will further prosecution of this application...